The^{2nd} International Conference on Multidisciplinary Engineering (^{2nd} ICOMDEN 2024)



Proceedings of International Conference on Multidisciplinary Engineering (ICOMDEN): 00004 (2024) https://doi.org/10.29103/icomden.v2.xxxx Volume 2, 2024 eISSN: xxxx-xxxx Research Original Article

Decision Support System For Selecting School Majors in Man Using The Weighted Aggregated Sum Product Assessment Method

Rifky Firzani Marpaung¹ Wahyu Fuadi² Hafizh Al Kautsar Aidilof³

¹Department of Informatics, Universitas Malikussaleh, Bukit Indah, Lhokseumawe, 24353, Indonesia, rifky.190170105@mhs.unimal.ac.id

²Department of Informatics, Universitas Malikussaleh, Bukit Indah, Lhokseumawe, 24353, Indonesia, wahyu.fuadi@unimal.ac.id

³Department of Informatics, Universitas Malikussaleh, Bukit Indah, Lhokseumawe, 24353, Indonesia, hafizh@unimal.ac.id

[™]Corresponding Author: wahyu.fuadi@unimal.ac.id | Phone: +6281263209107

Abstract

Determining school majors at Madrasah Aliyah Negeri (MAN) is an important process that must consider various factors such as academic grades, interests, and talents of students. However, the determination of the applicable majors still has problems due to several factors such as the environment or parents' requests so that the selection of students' majors is not in accordance with the abilities and talents of students. The selection of majors is also still done manually, so it is less efficient and takes a long time. This research aims to design and build a web-based Decision Support System (DSS) using the Weighted Aggregated Sum Product Assessment (WASPAS) method that is useful for helping schools determine majors that are in accordance with student abilities. This decision support system combines various criteria such as report card scores, academic test results, and practical skills to provide optimal majoring recommendations. The WASPAS method was chosen because of its ability to combine the Weighted Sum Model (WSM) and Weighted Product Model (WPM) methods, which results in more accurate calculations. The calculation test results show that the system built is able to provide major recommendations more quickly and effectively compared to the manual method. This system is expected to help schools in managing student majors more efficiently and objectively. **Keywords:** Decision Support System, WASPAS Method, Majoring, Web-based DSS.

Introduction

The rapid development of information technology today has had a significant impact on various fields of life, including the world of education. One of the implementations of this technology is in the form of a Decision Support System (DSS) which is a computer-based system built with a series of certain programs to help make decisions. In the decision support system there are also stages of the resolution process used when making decisions or what is commonly referred to as methods. The development of decision support system methods is currently growing rapidly, especially in conducting evaluations. In making decisions that must be considered are alternatives so that later they can produce optimal decisions.[1]

There are several decision support system methods that can be applied to solve problems such as the WASPAS (*Weighted Aggregated Sum Product Assessment*) method. The WASPAS method performs an optimal performance assessment on each criterion, then forms a normalization matrix on each criterion and calculates the value of the normalization matrix by optimizing the estimation of the low value and the highest value[2]. In the context of selecting majors at MAN 3 Langkat, the WASPAS method is used to calculate the weights of various criteria such as report cards, academic test results, and religious practices, thus helping to determine the most suitable majors for students.

In the field of education, especially in the process of selecting majors, it is often difficult for students to determine majors that match their interests, talents, and potential in the future. One example of a case is at MAN 3 Langkat, where the selection of majors is still done manually, causing less than optimal results and taking a long time. With the presence of a web-based DSS, this process also makes it easier for schools to manage student data, criteria data, and final results of majors. This web-based system is designed to be easily accessed by teachers and students whenever needed, thus increasing the work efficiency of school staff. Thus, it is expected that the implementation of this decision support system will not only help students in choosing the right major, but also support schools in running the selection process efficiently.

Overall, this research aims to develop a web-based system with the WASPAS method to assist schools in determining



student majors at MAN 3 Langkat. With this system, it is expected to improve the process of selecting majors that are faster, more accurate, and based on comprehensive data analysis.

Research Metodhs

Decision Support System

Decision support system is a system that is able to solve problems efficiently, effectively, which aims to help decision making by choosing various alternative decisions[3]. According to [4] decision support system modeling has 4 stages, namely: Intelligence Phase (problem mapping process), Design Phase (system design process), Choice Phase (alternative solution selection process), Implementation Phase (system implementation process).

Weighted Aggregated Sum Product Assesment (WASPAS) Method

The WASPAS method is a method that can determine the weight value for each attribute then proceed with the ranking process which will select the best alternative from the number of alternatives with the ranking method [5]. This method combines two approaches, namely Weighted Sum Model (WSM) and Weighted Product Model (WPM), to assess the performance of alternatives based on predefined criteria. In this method, the highest value is found according to the 2 highest criteria [6].

The steps for completing the waspas method in the decision support system are as follows:

1. Creating a Decision Matrix

Where m is the number of alternative candidates, n is the number of criteria.

2. Normalizing the Decision Matrix

Profit Criteria:

$\bar{\mathbf{x}} =$	x_{ij}	
~	max _i x _{ij}	

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\min_i x_{ij}}{x_{ij}}$$

Benefit Criteria

Description:

Xij = performance value of alternative i againts criterion j *max_i* = largest value of alternative

 min_i = smallest value of alternative

3. Calculating Qi Preference Value

$$Qi = 0.5 \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij} w + 0.5 \prod_{j=1}^{n} (x_{ij})^{wj}$$

Description:

Qi = value of Q to alternative i

0,5 = constancy

 $X_{ij}w =$ multiplication of value x_{ij} with weight (w)

4. Perform Ranking

Ranking is done by looking at the results of the Qi calculation. The results of the final value calculation are then sorted from the largest to the smallest value, the alternative with the largest final value shows the best alternative.

System Development Method

In making this system using development using the waterfall method.

© (i) (i)



Figure 1 Waterfall Method

The following are the stages of system development using the waterfall method:

a. Analysis

At this stage, an analysis of the needs of system users is carried out. to identify the problems that exist in the system along with the limitations of the problem and determine the specifications of system requirements.

b. Design

At this stage, the module is made from the software requirement specification using a structured method. This stage will translate the needs of the software presentation system, its quality can be estimated before the coding stage is carried out.

c. Coding

Coding is the activity of translating the design results into a form that can be understood by the machine using the system programming language.

d. Testing

The purpose of this testing is to correct or review errors that occur and ensure that the input that has been defined outputs in accordance with the required results.

e. Maintenance

At this stage, the application operation is carried out on the actual system.

System Schematic

A system schema is a workflow designed to help explain and illustrate how research is conducted. This scheme is usually in the form of a diagram or visual representation that shows how the research components are interconnected. The following is a system scheme of a school major selection decision support system using the Weight Aggregated Sum Product Assessment (WASPAS) method.



International Conference on Multidisciplinary Engineering, 1, (December) (2024) 4-9



Figure 2 System Schematic

Results and Discussion

The decision support system for determining majors with the SAW method has input, process and output. The inputs needed in this system are criteria data, matrix comparison data between criteria. The process carried out in this system is the score scoring process and the majoring selection process, while the output is the result of each student's majoring selection.

Database Manegement

This system is made with DFD (Data Flow Diagram) to describe the system process visually so that anyone can understand how the system works and flows.

a. Diagram Context



Figure 3 Diagram Context

b. Data Flow Diagram



The Authors(s), published by Engineering Faculty Universitas Malikussaleh This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Figure 4 Data Flow Diagram System

Determination of Weight and Criteria

The criteria used refer to school rules in determining majors to enter the desired class as can be seen in the table below:

Table 1 Criteria Data					
Criteria Description					
Key Criteria	Academic Score				
Supporting Criteria	Report Card Score				
Additional Criteria	Aptitude Score and Practice Score				

From each of these criteria, the weights will be determined, more clearly the weights are formed in the table below.

Table 2 V	Table 2 Weighting Criteria for Science Majors						
Criteria	Description	Weight					
Key Criteria	Science Academic Test Score	5					
Supporting Criteria	Science Report Card Score	3					
Additional Criteria	Science Practice Score	2					
Table 3	Weighting Criteria for Social Majors						
Criteria	Description	Weight					
Key Criteria	Social Academic Test Score	5					
•		5					
Supporting Criteria	Social Report Card Score	3					
Supporting Criteria Additional Criteria	Social Report Card Score Social Practice Score	3 2					

Table 4 Weighting Criteria for Religion Majors

Criteria	Description	Weight
Key Criteria	Religion Academic Test Score	5
Supporting Criteria	Religion Report Card Score	3
Additional Criteria	Religion Practice Score	2

Application of the WASPAS Method

From the total number of students, several students were taken as alternative examples of the application of the waspas method in determining majors with the names of the students, namely, Umi Habiba (A1), Muhammad Abid Tamimsyah (A2), Farhan Al Farizi (A3), Auffa Dzakwan Al-Fayyadh (A4), Ayla Azura (A5), Annisa Firza Desfiya (A6), Keysya Latifa



Alternative								
Criteria –	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	A6	A7	A8
C1	41	88	72	97	92	66	87	94
C2	95	100	97	73	86	79	56	94
C3	65	56	47	77	93	81	76	89
C4	52	89	99	80	86	100	89	61
C5	97	81	88	87	88	98	87	52
C6	93	85	43	65	41	66	72	100
C7	41	89	91	85	62	74	34	33
C8	72	86	92	53	30	43	71	50
C9	93	92	79	82	97	93	89	81
C10	93	81	97	76	90	97	79	65
C11	80	87	88	54	50	64	87	84

Azzahra (A7), Angga Prayuga (A8). The details of the data are as follows:

a. Determine the Normalization of the Decision Matrix

After knowing the alternative values for each criterion, the next step is to convert the criteria values into a decision matrix with use benefit criteria formula so the results are as follows.

	(0,423	0,95	0,699	0,52	0,99	0,93	0,451	0,783	0,959	0,959	0,909)
	0,907	1	0,602	0,89	0,827	0,85	0,978	0,935	0,948	0,835	0,989
	0,742	0,97	0,505	0,99	0,898	0,43	1	1	0,814	1	1
v –] 1	0,73	0,828	0,8	0,888	0,65	0,934	0,576	0,845	0,784	0,727
Λ-	0,948	0,86	1	0,86	0,898	0,41	0,681	0,326	1	0,928	0,568 (
	0,680	0,79	0,871	1	1	0,66	0,813	0,467	0,959	1	0,727
	0,897	0,56	0,817	0,89	0,888	0,72	0,374	0,772	0,918	0,814	0,989
	0,979	0,94	0,957	0,61	0,531	1	0,363	0,543	0,835	0,670	0,955J

b. Determining the Preference Value and Decision Making (Qi)

After obtaining the normalization matrix, the next step is to calculate the preference value (Qi) formula so the results are as follows:

	Table 6 Decision M	laking Result Table		
Student Alternative	Final Qi Science	Final Qi Socials	Final Qi Relogion	Decision
Umi Habiba	2,3849	4,9315	4,4210	Passed Social
Muhammad Abid Tamimsyah	4,7633	4,6696	3,9936	Passed Science
Farhan Al Farizi	4,7829	4,9663	2,7720	Passed Science
Auffa Dzakwan Al-Fayyadh	4,5770	3,9261	3,6726	Passed Science
Ayla Azura	4,3472	3,8807	3,3610	Passed Science
Annisa Firza Desfiya	4,4380	4,2062	3,8850	Passed Science
Keysya Latifa Azzahra	3,9721	3,8270	3,9761	Passed Science
Angga Prayuga	3,3619	3,2852	5,0500	Passed Science

In the table above is the final result of the recommendation of the right major for each student. The values above are obtained from the calculation of the normalization of the decision matrix with each sub-criterion of each department, then ranking is carried out in each department In this case example, decision making is carried out from each student where the department is suitable for each student then those who do not pass the first department will be ranked again in other departments as obtained from the following provisions:

Table 7 Decision Rule Table						
No.	Final Grade	Description				
1.	≥ 2,90	Passed Science Major				
2.	< 2,90	Graduated in Other Majors				



System Implementation

a. Login Display Form



Figure 5 Login Display Form

b. Admin Dashboard Form

Laravol						🛞 🔥 Admin 🗸
afs.	_					_
Dashboard	Selamat Da	itang, Admin 👏				
3 N.M	Barikut adalah rangk	uman data andal				
Kitela						
Eub Kriteria	쑫	Siswa	4	Jurusan	\$	Kriteria
Data Siawa	336		3		3	
f durasan						
Perhitungan WASPAS						
Hast Perangkingan						

Figure 6 Admin Dashboard Form

c. Criteria Data Form

Laravel				🛞 🔺 Azerin 🤇
A005	A Tandah Kalada			
Destioned				
NINI	Kriteria			
Kriedo	Show 19 entries			Sweeth
Sub Kriteria	NAME REPORT	1 HODCHRITTERA	BOROTHORTERIA	: AK\$1
🖞 Data Sawa	Refer to Ulama	ci.	4	8 💼
(Junisan	Ketterle Pendukang	02	3	10
Perhitungan WASPAS	Educia Tambahan	C1	3	۲ 💼
BallNreiginger	Showing 1 to 3 of 3 ont los			Previous 1 Next

Figure 7 Criteria Data Form

d. Sub Criteria Data Form

Laravel				🛞 🔺 Azerin 🗸
	_			
	+ Tambah bas Ketara			
	Sab Kriteria			
	1.000 500	10.000		4.0
	EA.	Relative Warns	Nikei Tes 199	
	10	Hiteda Perdukung	Hilal Raport IPA	
	EA.	Nitoria Tambaitan	Milai Tes Bahasa Indonesia	
	EX.	Kétaria Tantatan	Nikei Tes Bahasa Inggris	
3 Husil Perangkingan	15	Kolonia Ularra	Milei Tea IPS	
	PS	Kifteria Perdukung	Milai Raport IPS	
	19	Kitoria Tambatan	Milei Tos Bahasa Indonesia	
	15	Keloria Tambahan	Nikei Tes Bahasa Inggris	
	Agama	Reform Ularra	Mari Tao Agarta	
	Agetto	Hittaria Pandakang	Hilai Raport Agama	
				_

Figure 8 Sub Criteria Data Form

e. Student Data Form

Laravel					🔹 🔥 Adria v
PAGES					
n Dentered	+ Tandolf traine				
E NN	Data Sixoa				
\$ Krieda	Stow 19 entres			Search	
) 📃 Sala Kriteria	NO 100 1	NAMA SSUA	JOREKOLANN (AGAL RELAG	AISI :
💒 Data Sawa	699	NARLA TSABI'A	PERMITAN	24	Image: A state of the state
A Jiman	602	BUNDA ABNISA PUTRI CANIROD	PEREMISION	304	Image: A state of the state
Perhangan KASAS	600	SALSA PUTRI SHAQILLA	PERDUPLIAN	84	Image: A state of the state
BoilNeingkinger	004	NURANNAD FRANK	Late-Det	24	Image: A state of the state
	605	LUT I FROM SYM I	LANC CAVE	34	•
	COR	IANSHA SANADAN SURVA	PEREMIPILAN	81	Image: A state of the state
	607	ALX8. 508-0428	PEREMITIAN	304	 Image: A set of the set of the
	con	NEUTO ADD. LAH	PEREMANAN	3-1	💌 🖸
	609	R0LAH	PEREMITIVAN	101	۵ 🚺
	cro	REQ HADNETA	140-040	84	 Image: A second s
	F	ioure 9 Student	Data For	rm	
	1.	igure > Student	Dutaro		

f. Majors Data Form



Laravel						 ADVIN v
~						
Dashboard	Normalisasi Kritoria					
NIN	NAMA KUTERIA	HODE HIR TERM			8000T	
rinda	Referie Uterne	cn			4	
	Reiteria Penchicerg	G2			3	
565 11 10 10	Referie Territainen	c3			3	
Data Siswa						
Jimmon	Data Aval IPA					
Whitegan WISSAS				10.000	7 NO77764	
Heal Perendengen	NAMA SEZVA		61	63		
	NABLA TRABITA		49	10.5	89	80
	SUNGA ANNISA PUTRI CANINGO		85	95	71	21
	SALSA PUTTI SHAGELA		45	97	28	54
	MUTANNAD PRADE		22	61	21	м
	LUTHFUNETAN		21	76	67	85
	TANDHA DAHABAB BUNYA		92	28	69	45
	ALVA FARMAN		38	93	82	58
	MEUTIA ADDILLAH		42	94	88	23

Figure 10 Majors Data Form

g. WASPAS Calculation Form

Laravel						🔹 Admin	a
-							
Destboard	Normalisasi Kritoria						
469	NAMA KRITERIA	NODE NR TERNA			8033T		
lada	Kerteras Uterras	cn			4		
into Alexandre	Kelleria Perchicurg	G2			2		
Data Siswa	Anters Inclusion	u			1		
lanson	Data Awal IPA						
en burgen WASAS	have seen			NUA DOD	T KRATCHA		
eal Penerglonger	NABLA TRANTA		49	02		10	
	SURGA ANNISA PUTRI CANIAGO		85	95	л	21	
	SALSA PUTTI SNAGLLA		45	97	28	94	
	MUTAMINAD PRADIL		22	61	21	м	
	LETHERANDYAN		21	70	67	85	
	TANIDIA DAHARANI SURYA		92	78	69	45	
	ACTA FARMAN		38	93	82	58	
	MEUTIA ASDILLAH		42	94	88	23	

Figure 11 WASPAS Calculation Form

h. WASPAS Ranking Result Fom

Laravel							🛞 🗼 Admin v
	D. Lorent MAL						
E Daabboard	at exposition						
	Hasil Parankir	ngan 198					
	RANKER	NOSSO	NATA 2 SMA	JENE KELVIN R	ASAL KILAS	20002278	HARE OF
	1	113	ARHARI ALTARIZ	LAR-LAR	81	104	457
	2	oas	NU KORAD ADD TAMMORAN	1.8651440	×2	194	4.65
g Junion	2	253	STEASON INFOM	PEREMISSION	307	156	4.42
I had dependence		102	OHEVA EL 244RA	PEREMPLON	84	196	43
		063	AREUARENAN	1.8011490	ж2	126	6.19
		040	ANDOLIN AT BALILARIDAD	PERMIT	364	195	4.91
	7	087	MHD SYMERETY REPORT	LINE-LINE	8.2	126	4.0
		158	AUFFA DZAKWON AL-FAIYADH	Lake-Log	3:4	194	4.5
	1.0	668	587253-824-	PERTAPLISA	8.2	194	4.9

Figure 12 WASPAS Ranking Result Fom

i. Home Page Display



j. Display System of Dealing Results

Laras	and a second	Heroe Sca	rch Data Slowa Kontak			Logie
		HAS Bericut adalah ibasi dan penye	IL PENJURUSAN Irusan dorgan monggunakan m	citocie WASPAS		
RANKING	NO 820	NAMA SOMA	JENES RELAMIN	ASHLIELAS	30.50	HASE OF
	10	CARLINN ALCORON	1202-0200	3-1	po -	457
2	040	MUHAMMAD ADD TAMINO AP	LASS LASS	× 2	18	424
1	252	STLATONG MARINE	PETERS.WW	×.7	15	60
4	116	DE EXA DI 204 RA	FEIELBPURG	X-3	10.	43
5	002	ARTICLENAR	1282-0285	×-9	P5	-04
•	UND	ANOSON ALTIK INISONO	PERCEPTION N	x 1	196	423
,	052	MHD SIGN BETY BHOMA	LHD LHD	× 2	P0.	68
4	136	AUTO DZARAON AL-WAVADI	1905-090	X-4	10	4.05
•	001	MAN PARA	FILFAD.WX	8.0	15	402

Figure 14 Display System of Dealing Results

k. Student Data Search Page



aravel	Home Search Data Slowa Kontak	Logie
	Informasi Siswa	
NHAVA I MUHAMMAHD AND TAMINISMH JENIS KELAMIN I LAMI LAMI KITAG JAQ MUMUHUSIN I IM		
Data Nilai Siroa		
NATA PERSINDAN		NEM
Niki Report PS		u.
NRABAPOR PS		100
Hiki Najori Agamu		14
NEW THE PR.		56
Niss Tex IPS		01
Niki to Agana		10
Miles Ter II datase Jackson a		

The author implements the above design by using a web-based platform in the creation of the Information System Decision Support System for Department Selection MAN 3 Langkat. Here is a view of the system that has been implemented.

Conclusions

Based on research that has been conducted by researchers, it can be concluded that the existence of a decision support system to determine majors in science, ips, or religion can help in providing recommendations and considerations in determining students who will enter their majors based on calculations on each of the criteria that have been processed in the system.

- 1. This major decision support system application helps schools in making decisions to determine students in the right class according to students' academic abilities or student grades.
- 2. This major decision support system application simplifies and speeds up the work of teacher staff who are responsible for determining this major.
- 3. The best major recommended by the system is the final value of the WASPAS method calculation which has the largest (Qi) value.

References

- Rizal, H. A. K. Aidilof, and A. I. Nasution, "Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Penerimaan Beasiswa Peningkatan Prestasi Akademik Menggunakan Metode Preference Selection Index," J. Teknoinfo, vol. 16, no. 2, p. 198, 2022, doi: 10.33365/jti.v16i2.1802.
- [2] M. B. K. Nasution, K. Kusmanto, A. Karim, and S. Esabella, "Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Penilaian Kinerja Ketua Program Studi Menerapkan Metode WASPAS dengan Pembobotan ROC," *Build. Informatics, Technol. Sci.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 130–136, 2022, doi: 10.47065/bits.v4i1.1619.
- [3] Ariani Susanti, "Perancangan Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Penentuan Jurusan Siswa Sma Negeri 2 Kutacane Berbasis Web Dengan Menggunakan Metode Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)," J. Multimed. dan Teknol. Inf., vol. 3, no. 02, pp. 68–74, 2022, doi: 10.54209/jatilima.v3i02.152.
- [4] H. Jeperson, N. Fifto, D. Abdullah, Kraugusteeliana, and A. Qurrotul, *Sistem Pendukung Keputusan*. Jakarta: Yayasan Kita Menulis, 2023.
- [5] K. Ihsan and guidio leonarde Ginting, "Penerapan Metode WASPAS Untuk Menentukan Penerima Beasiswa Pada Perguruan Tinggi Negeri," *TIN (Terapan Inform. Nusantara)*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://ejurnal.seminar-id.com/index.php/tin/article/view/231
- [6] D. M. Pagan and M. Syahrizal, "Penerapan WASPAS Dalam Mendukung Keputusan Penerima Beasiswa Mahasiswa Berprestasi," *TIN Terap. Inform.* ..., vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 8-13, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://ejurnal.seminar-id.com/index.php/tin/article/view/230

